

1 MICHAEL J. MCCUE (SBN: 296425)
2 Email: MMMcCue@LRRLaw.com
3 AARON D. JOHNSON (SBN: 261747)
4 Email: ADJohnson@LRRLAW.com
5 Lewis Roca Rothgerber LLP
4300 Bohannon Drive
Menlo Park, CA 94025
(650) 391-1380 (Tel.)
(702) 391-1395 (Fax)

6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
7 RESTORATION HARDWARE, INC.
RH US, LLC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

12 RESTORATION HARDWARE, INC.,
13 a Delaware corporation, and RH US,
LLC, a Delaware limited liability
company.

Plaintiffs.

VS.

**CHICAGO WICKER & TRADING
COMPANY, an Illinois corporation,**

Defendant.

Civil Case No.: 3:15-cv-00894-EDL

The Honorable Magistrate Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte

**PLAINTIFFS RESTORATION
HARDWARE, INC. AND RH US,
LLC'S CASE MANAGEMENT
STATEMENT AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER**

Plaintiffs Restoration Hardware, Inc. and RH US, LLC (collectively, “RH”) hereby submit this Case Management Statement and Proposed Order pursuant to the Court’s Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference (Doc. No. 5) and Civil Local Rule 16-9.

1. Jurisdiction and Service:

25 This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28
26 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 because this action involves claims for patent infringement
27 in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 1, *et seq.* This Court additionally has subject matter

1 jurisdiction because this action involves claims for trademark infringement under the
2 Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114 and 1125.

3 After Defendant was notified of this matter, Defendant expressed an interest
4 in settling this matter to amicably resolve this case early. Due to the travel
5 schedules of counsel, the parties were unable to discuss the matter until recently. To
6 facilitate settlement and conserve costs, Defendant has not been formally served.

7 **2. Facts:**

8 RH is an innovative and popular luxury brand for home furnishings. RH
9 holds design patents for its furniture designs and holds the trademark registration for
10 "Provence," which is a line of furniture embodying those designs. Defendant sells
11 products that violate RH's patents and trademark rights. RH brought this action for
12 damages and other appropriate relief.

13 **3. Legal Issues:**

- 14
- RH's ownership of the patents-in-suit;
 - RH's ownership of its trademark;
 - Whether Defendant has infringed RH's patents;
 - Whether Defendant has infringed RH's trademark; and
 - The amount of damages Defendant should pay RH.

15

16 **4. Pending And Anticipated Motions:**

17 None.

18

19 **5. Anticipated Pleading Amendments:**

20 None.

21

22 **6. Evidence Preservation:**

23 RH's counsel certifies that it has reviewed the Guidelines Relating to the
24 Discovery of Electronically Stored Information. Because Defendant has not been
25 served, there has not been a conference held regarding reasonable and proportionate
26 steps taken to preserve electronic evidence. However, RH confirms that it has taken
27 steps to preserve electronic evidence.

28

1 steps to preserve evidence relevant to the issues reasonably evident in this action.

2 **7. Disclosures:**

3 Because Defendant has not been served, the parties have not conducted a Rule
4 26(f) conference and have not discussed a proposed discovery plan.

5 **8. Discovery:**

6 Because Defendant has not been served, the parties have not conducted a Rule
7 26(f) conference and have not discussed a proposed discovery plan.

8 **9. Class Actions:**

9 Not applicable.

10 **10. Related Cases:**

11 RH has filed several enforcement actions in this district against other
12 infringers of RH's intellectual property:

- 13 • *Restoration Hardware, Inc., et al. v. South Sea Rattan Furniture, Inc.,*
14 Case No. 3:15-cv-00891-EDL;
- 15 • *Restoration Hardware, Inc. et al. v. Stanislaus Funding, Inc. et al.,*
16 Case No. 3:15-00892-EDL;
- 17 • *Restoration Hardware, Inc. et al. v. Chicago Wicker & Trading Co.,*
18 Case No. 3:15-00894-EDL;
- 19 • *Restoration Hardware, Inc., et al. v. Heng Zhong,*
20 Case No. 4:15-cv-00937-KAW;
- 21 • *Restoration Hardware, Inc., et al. v. HFONC, Inc., et al.,*
22 Case No. 4:15-cv-00954-DMR;
- 23 • *Restoration Hardware, Inc., et al. v. Light in the Box Ltd.,*
24 Case No. 4:15-cv-00924-KAW;
- 25 • *Restoration Hardware, Inc., et al. v. Patio Shoppers, Inc.,*
26 Case No. 4:15-cv-00936-DMR;
- 27 • *Restoration Hardware, Inc., et al. v. PHX Lighting, LLC,*

LEWIS ROCA
ROTHGERBER
3993 Howard Hughes Parkway
Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996

Case No. 3:15-cv-00918-EDL;

- *Restoration Hardware, Inc. et al. v. Topson Lighting, Ltd.*,
Case No. 5:15-cv-00938-HRL; and
 - *Restoration Hardware, Inc. et al. v. HomeLava Ltd.*,
Case No. 3:15-cv-00926-EDL.

In an order dated May 12, 2015, in *Restoration Hardware, Inc., et al. v. South Sea Rattan Furniture, Inc.*, Case No. 3:15-cv-00891-EDL, (Doc. 13) Magistrate Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte determined that the following cases are related under local Civil Rule 3-12:

- *Restoration Hardware, Inc., et al. v. South Sea Rattan Furniture, Inc.*, Case No. 3:15-cv-00891-EDL;
 - *Restoration Hardware, Inc. et al. v. Stanislaus Funding, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 3:15-00892-EDL; and
 - *Restoration Hardware, Inc. et al. v. Chicago Wicker & Trading Co.*, Case No. 3:15-00894-EDL.

Each of these cases have been assigned to Magistrate Judge Laporte. With respect to the other cases, while RH does not believe they are related cases under Civil Local Rule 3-12 because they involve different defendants selling different products, RH does not oppose assignment to a single judge. *See, e.g., EIT Holdings LLC v. Yelp!, Inc.*, No. C 10-05623 WHA, 2011 WL 2192820, 2 (N.D. Cal. May 12, 2011) (“Given the disparity in defendants, websites, and other disparate issues discussed herein like damages, willfulness, and discovery supervision, it is worth adding that the allegations against each defendant would *not* be related under our civil local rules even if brought here as separate actions. *See* Civil L.R. 3-12(a)(2)”).

11. Relief:

RH seeks both injunctive relief and damages under the Lanham Act and the Patent Act.

33993 Howard Hughes Parkway
Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996

LEWIS ROCA
ROTHGERBER

1 **12. Settlement and ADR:**

2 The parties are engaged in informal settlement discussions.

3 **13. Consent to Magistrate For All Purposes:**

4 RH has consented to the Magistrate Judge assigned to this case for all
5 purposes.

6 **14. Other References:**

7 This case is not suitable for reference to binding arbitration, a special master,
8 or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

9 **15. Narrowing of Issues:**

10 Because Defendant has not been served, the parties have not discussed
11 narrowing the issues.

12 **16. Expedited Trial Procedure:**

13 Because Defendant has not been served, the parties have not discussed
14 whether this case can be handled under the Expedited Trial Procedures.

15 **17. Scheduling:**

16 Because Defendant has not been served, the parties have not discussed
17 discovery scheduling.

18 **18. Trial:**

19 RH did not demand a jury trial. RH preliminarily estimates that a bench trial
20 would require between 3 to 5 trial days.

21 **19. Disclosure of Non Party Interested Entities or Persons:**

22 RH has filed its certification of interested entities or persons. Pursuant to
23 Rule 7.1 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs Restoration Hardware,
24 Inc. and RH US, LLC are each direct or indirect wholly owned subsidiaries of
25 Restoration Hardware Holdings, Inc., a publicly traded Delaware corporation.

26 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-15, the undersigned certifies that, as of this
27 date, other than the named parties, there are no entities or persons who have a

LEWIS ROCA
ROTHGERBER
3993 Howard Hughes Parkway
Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996

1
CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

2 Based on the **PLAINTIFFS RESTORATION HARDWARE, INC. AND**
3 **RH US, LLC'S CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND [PROPOSED]**
4 **ORDER** filed by Plaintiffs on May 19, 2015, the Court hereby continues the Case
5 Management Conference for this case for 60 days until _____ July 21, 2015
6 at ^{10:00 am} am/pm. All related deadlines under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16 and 25
7 and applicable case management and discovery local rules and standing orders shall
8 be continued to accord with the new conference date.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED

10 Dated: May 20, 2015

11 By: 
12 The Honorable Magistrate Judge
13 Elizabeth D. Laporte

LEWIS ROCA
ROTHGERBER
3993 Howard Hughes Parkway
Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28